



university of
 groningen

faculty of science
 and engineering

bernoulli institute for mathematics,
 computer science and artificial intelligence

Lecture Course: Advanced Systems Theory

Chapter 6-Lecture 7: (C, A, B) -pairs and DDP by dynamical feedback

Stephan Trenn, Yahao Chen

Jan C. Willems Center for Systems and Control

University of Groningen, Netherlands

September 2020

Recapitulation-4.4 Controllability subspace

Definition (4.11)

Consider $\Sigma : \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$. A subspace $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a **controllability subspace** of Σ if

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists T > 0, u \in \mathbf{U} : x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } x_u(T, x_0) = 0.$$

Recapitulation-4.4 Controllability subspace

Definition (4.11)

Consider $\Sigma : \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$. A subspace $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is called a **controllability subspace** of Σ if

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists T > 0, u \in \mathcal{U} : x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } x_u(T, x_0) = 0.$$

Theorem (4.12)

A subspace \mathcal{R} is a controllability subspace iff $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF \mid \text{im } BL \rangle.$$

Recapitulation-4.4 Controllability subspace

Definition (4.11)

Consider $\Sigma : \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$. A subspace $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is called a **controllability subspace** of Σ if

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists T > 0, u \in \mathcal{U} : x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } x_u(T, x_0) = 0.$$

Theorem (4.12)

A subspace \mathcal{R} is a controllability subspace iff $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF \mid \text{im } BL \rangle$.

Question 1

Which one of the following is **not necessarily** a controllability subspace of $\Sigma = (A, B)$?

(i) $\langle A \mid B \rangle$. (ii) $\{0\}$. (iii) \mathcal{X} . (iv) $\langle A \mid \text{im } B \cap \mathcal{W} \rangle$, where \mathcal{W} is the **reachable space** of Σ .

Recapitulation-4.4 Controllability subspace

Definition (4.11)

Consider $\Sigma : \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$. A subspace $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is called a **controllability subspace** of Σ if

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists T > 0, u \in \mathcal{U} : x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } x_u(T, x_0) = 0.$$

Theorem (4.12)

A subspace \mathcal{R} is a controllability subspace iff $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF \mid \text{im } BL \rangle$.

Question 1

Which one of the following is **not necessarily** a controllability subspace of $\Sigma = (A, B)$?

- (i) $\langle A \mid B \rangle$. (ii) $\{0\}$. (iii) \mathcal{X} . (iv) $\langle A \mid \text{im } B \cap \mathcal{W} \rangle$, where \mathcal{W} is the **reachable space** of Σ .

Recapitulation-Controllability subspace within a subspace

Theorem (4.15)

$\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is *the largest controllability subspace contained in \mathcal{K} , i.e.,*

(i) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is a controllability subspace.

(ii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

(iii) $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$

Recapitulation-Controllability subspace within a subspace

Theorem (4.15)

$\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is *the largest controllability subspace contained in \mathcal{K} , i.e.,*

(i) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is a controllability subspace.

(ii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

(iii) $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$

Theorem (4.17)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a subspace. Then any $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ satisfies $(A + BF)\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \langle A + BF \mid \text{im } B \cap \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \rangle$.

Questions

Consider $\Sigma = (A, B, C)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2 + u_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_2 \end{cases}, \quad y = x_1,$$

Questions

Consider $\Sigma = (A, B, C)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2 + u_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_2 \end{cases}, \quad y = x_1,$$

Question 2a

Which is $\mathcal{V}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Questions

Consider $\Sigma = (A, B, C)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2 + u_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_2 \end{cases}, \quad y = x_1,$$

Question 2a

Which is $\mathcal{V}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 2b

Which is $\mathcal{R}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Questions

Consider $\Sigma = (A, B, C)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2 + u_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_2 \end{cases}, \quad y = x_1,$$

Question 2a

Which is $\mathcal{V}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 2b

Which is $\mathcal{R}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 3

For $\Sigma = (A, B)$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq K$, then

- (i) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.

Questions

Consider $\Sigma = (A, B, C)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2 + u_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_2 \end{cases}, \quad y = x_1,$$

Question 2a

Which is $\mathcal{V}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 2b

Which is $\mathcal{R}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 3

For $\Sigma = (A, B)$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq K$, then

- (i) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.

Questions

Consider $\Sigma = (A, B, C)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2 + u_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_2 \end{cases}, \quad y = x_1,$$

Question 2a

Which is $\mathcal{V}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 2b

Which is $\mathcal{R}^*(\ker C)$? (i) $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$, (ii) $\mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$, (iii) \mathcal{X}_2 , (iv) \mathcal{X}_3 .

Question 3

For $\Sigma = (A, B)$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq K$, then

- (i) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \cap B = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$.

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Definition (Conditioned invariant subspaces)

Consider the system $\Sigma = (C, A)$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **conditioned invariant** if there exists $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Definition (Conditioned invariant subspaces)

Consider the system $\Sigma = (C, A)$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **conditioned invariant** if there exists $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$

Theorem (5.5 Conditioned invariant subspaces)

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is conditioned invariant iff $A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Definition (Conditioned invariant subspaces)

Consider the system $\Sigma = (C, A)$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **conditioned invariant** if there exists $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$

Theorem (5.5 Conditioned invariant subspaces)

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is conditioned invariant iff $A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem (5.6 Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Recapitulation-Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.6 *Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant*)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Recapitulation-Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.6 *Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant*)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Question 4

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is \mathcal{S} a conditioned invariance of (C, A) ?

(i) Yes (ii) No

Recapitulation-Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.6 **Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant**)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Question 4

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is \mathcal{S} a conditioned invariance of (C, A) ?

(i) Yes (ii) No

Recapitulation-Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.6 Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Question 4

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is \mathcal{S} a conditioned invariance of (C, A) ?

- (i) Yes (ii) No

Question 5

Which G satisfies that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$?

- (i) $G = [0 \ 1 \ 0]^T$
(ii) $G = [1 \ -1 \ 1]^T$.
(iii) $G = [1 \ 0 \ -1]^T$.

Recapitulation-Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.6 **Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant**)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Question 4

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is \mathcal{S} a conditioned invariance of (C, A) ?

(i) Yes (ii) No

Question 5

Which G satisfies that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$?

(i) $G = [0 \ 1 \ 0]^T$

(ii) $G = [1 \ -1 \ 1]^T$.

(iii) $G = [1 \ 0 \ -1]^T$.

Recapitulation-Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.6 **Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant**)

A subspace \mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant iff \mathcal{S}^\perp is (A^T, C^T) -invariant.

Question 4

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is \mathcal{S} a conditioned invariance of (C, A) ?

(i) Yes (ii) No

Question 5

Which G satisfies that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$?

(i) $G = [0 \ 1 \ 0]^T$

(ii) $G = [1 \ -1 \ 1]^T$.

(iii) $G = [1 \ 0 \ -1]^T$.

Theorem (5.7 **The smallest conditioned invariant subspace containing a given subspace**)

Consider the system $\Sigma = (C, A)$. Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subspace. Then

$$S^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A) = (\mathcal{V}^*(A^T, C^T, \mathcal{E}^\perp))^\perp$$

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

ISA Algorithm ([Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance](#))

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_0 & := \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{k+1} & := \mathcal{K} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k + \text{im } B), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

ISA Algorithm (**Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance**)

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{k+1} := \mathcal{K} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k + \text{im } B), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

CISA Algorithm (**Invariant subspace algorithm for conditioned invariance**)

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{E}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{E} + A(\mathcal{S}_\ell \cap \ker C), \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

ISA Algorithm (Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{k+1} := \mathcal{K} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k + \text{im } B), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

CISA Algorithm (Invariant subspace algorithm for conditioned invariance)

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{E}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{E} + A(\mathcal{S}_\ell \cap \ker C), \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Remark: $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\perp = \mathcal{E}^\perp \cap (A(\mathcal{S}_k \cap \ker C))^\perp = \mathcal{E}^\perp \cap A^{-T}(\mathcal{S}_k + \text{im } C^T)$

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

ISA Algorithm (**Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance**)

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_0 & := \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{k+1} & := \mathcal{K} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k + \text{im } B), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

CISA Algorithm (**Invariant subspace algorithm for conditioned invariance**)

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_0 & = \mathcal{E}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} & = \mathcal{E} + A(\mathcal{S}_\ell \cap \ker C), \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Remark: $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\perp = \mathcal{E}^\perp \cap (A(\mathcal{S}_k \cap \ker C))^\perp = \mathcal{E}^\perp \cap A^{-T}(\mathcal{S}_k + \text{im } C^T)$ (ISA for \mathcal{E}^\perp and (A^T, C^T)).

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 *Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance*)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 *Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance*)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 *Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance*)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ .

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $\ell \geq 0$.

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. (*stable*)

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. (*stable*)
- (iii) There exists k with $k \leq n - \dim(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$.

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. (*stable*)
- (iii) There exists k with $k \leq n - \dim(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$. (*stable index*)

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. (*stable*)
- (iii) There exists k with $k \leq n - \dim(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$. (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{S}_k$.

Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$

Theorem (4.10 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the *Algorithm (ISA)*. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Theorem (5.8 Invariant subspace algorithm for controlled invariance)

Let \mathcal{S}_ℓ be defined by the *Algorithm (CISA)*. Then, we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all ℓ . (*non-decreasing*)
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. (*stable*)
- (iii) There exists k with $k \leq n - \dim(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$. (*stable index*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{S}_k$. (*limit*)

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$$

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest **controlled invariant**
 subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$\Sigma = (C, A) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad y(t) = Cx(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$

\mathcal{S} is **conditioned invariant** for $\Sigma = (C, A)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$$

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest **controlled invariant**
 subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$$

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest **controlled invariant**
 subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

$$\Sigma = (C, A) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad y(t) = Cx(t)$$

\mathcal{S} is **conditioned invariant** for $\Sigma = (C, A)$



\mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$$

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest **controlled invariant**
 subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

$$\Sigma = (C, A) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad y(t) = Cx(t)$$

\mathcal{S} is **conditioned invariant** for $\Sigma = (C, A)$



\mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant



$\exists G$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$$

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest **controlled invariant**
 subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

$$\Sigma = (C, A) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad y(t) = Cx(t)$$

\mathcal{S} is **conditioned invariant** for $\Sigma = (C, A)$



\mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant



$\exists G$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$



$$A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$$

controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces

$$\Sigma = (A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

\mathcal{V} is **controlled invariant** for $\Sigma = (A, B)$



\mathcal{V} is (A, B) -invariant



$\exists F$ such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$



$$A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} + \text{im } B$$

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest **controlled invariant** subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

$$\Sigma = (C, A) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad y(t) = Cx(t)$$

\mathcal{S} is **conditioned invariant** for $\Sigma = (C, A)$



\mathcal{S} is (C, A) -invariant



$\exists G$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$



$$A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$$

$S^*(\mathcal{E})$ is the largest **conditioned invariant** subspace containing \mathcal{E}

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

› Consider a system $\Sigma = (C, A, B)$ with $x(t) \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx, \end{cases}$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

› Consider a system $\Sigma = (C, A, B)$ with $x(t) \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx, \end{cases}$$

› and a dynamic controller $\Gamma = (M, K, L, N)$ with $w(t) \in \mathcal{W}$:

$$\Gamma : \begin{cases} \dot{w} = Kw + Ly \\ u = Mw + Ny. \end{cases}$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

- › Consider a system $\Sigma = (C, A, B)$ with $x(t) \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx, \end{cases}$$

- › and a dynamic controller $\Gamma = (M, K, L, N)$ with $w(t) \in \mathcal{W}$:

$$\Gamma : \begin{cases} \dot{w} = Kw + Ly \\ u = Mw + Ny. \end{cases}$$

- › The closed loop system is $\Sigma_e = (C_e, A_e)$ with $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$:

$$\Sigma_e : \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{w} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A + BNC & BM \\ LC & K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \\ y_e = [C \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Definition (6.1)

A pair of subspace $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ of \mathcal{X} is called (C, A, B) -pair if

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Definition (6.1)

A pair of subspace $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ of \mathcal{X} is called (C, A, B) -pair if

- › $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$;

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Definition (6.1)

A pair of subspace $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ of \mathcal{X} is called (C, A, B) -pair if

- › $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$;
- › \mathcal{S} is a (C, A) -invariant subspace;

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Definition (6.1)

A pair of subspace $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ of \mathcal{X} is called (C, A, B) -pair if

- › $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$;
- › \mathcal{S} is a (C, A) -invariant subspace;
- › \mathcal{V} is an (A, B) -invariant subspace.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Definition (6.1)

A pair of subspace $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ of \mathcal{X} is called (C, A, B) -pair if

- › $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$;
- › \mathcal{S} is a (C, A) -invariant subspace;
- › \mathcal{V} is an (A, B) -invariant subspace.

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\mathcal{V}_e) &:= \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\} \quad (\text{projection}) \\
 i(\mathcal{V}_e) &:= \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}. \quad (\text{intersection})
 \end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is $A_e = \begin{bmatrix} A + BNC & BM \\ LC & K \end{bmatrix}$ -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow Ax \in i(\mathcal{V}_e)$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow Ax \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow i(\mathcal{V}_e) \text{ is } (C, A)\text{-inv}$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow Ax \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow i(\mathcal{V}_e) \text{ is } (C, A)\text{-inv}$$

For $x \in p(\mathcal{V}_e)$, choose $w \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow Ax \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow i(\mathcal{V}_e) \text{ is } (C, A)\text{-inv}$$

For $x \in p(\mathcal{V}_e)$, choose $w \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$. Then $A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + B(NCx + Mw) \\ LCx + Kw \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow Ax \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow i(\mathcal{V}_e) \text{ is } (C, A)\text{-inv}$$

For $x \in p(\mathcal{V}_e)$, choose $w \in \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$. Then $A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + B(NCx + Mw) \\ LCx + Kw \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$

$$\Rightarrow Ax + B(NCx + Mw) \in p(\mathcal{V}_e)$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem (6.2)

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ and let

$$p(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}, \quad i(\mathcal{V}_e) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e\}.$$

If \mathcal{V}_e is A_e -inv. then $(i(\mathcal{V}_e), p(\mathcal{V}_e))$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Proof.

Clearly, $i(\mathcal{V}_e) \subseteq p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. Let $x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \cap \ker C$. Then

$$A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + BNCx \\ LCx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow Ax \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow i(\mathcal{V}_e) \text{ is } (C, A)\text{-inv}$$

$$\text{For } x \in p(\mathcal{V}_e), \text{ choose } w \in \mathcal{W} \text{ s.t. } \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e. \text{ Then } A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + B(NCx + Mw) \\ LCx + Kw \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$$

$$\Rightarrow Ax + B(NCx + Mw) \in p(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow Ax \in p(\mathcal{V}_e) + \text{im } B \Rightarrow p(\mathcal{V}_e) \text{ is } (A, B)\text{-inv.}$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If (S, \mathcal{V}) is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)S \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is (A, B) -inv. $\Rightarrow Aq_i = v_i + Bu_i$, for $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is (A, B) -inv. $\Rightarrow Aq_i = v_i + Bu_i$, for $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We **claim** that $Cq_{l+1}, Cq_{l+2}, \dots, Cq_k$ are linear independent.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is (A, B) -inv. $\Rightarrow Aq_i = v_i + Bu_i$, for $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We **claim** that $Cq_{l+1}, Cq_{l+2}, \dots, Cq_k$ are linear independent. Suppose \exists non-zero $\alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_k$ s.t.

$$\alpha_{l+1}Cq_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}Cq_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k Cq_k = 0.$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is (A, B) -inv. $\Rightarrow Aq_i = v_i + Bu_i$, for $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We **claim** that $Cq_{l+1}, Cq_{l+2}, \dots, Cq_k$ are linear independent. Suppose \exists non-zero $\alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_k$ s.t.

$$\alpha_{l+1}Cq_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}Cq_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k Cq_k = 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{q} := \alpha_{l+1}q_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}q_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k q_k \in \mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is (A, B) -inv. $\Rightarrow Aq_i = v_i + Bu_i$, for $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We **claim** that $Cq_{l+1}, Cq_{l+2}, \dots, Cq_k$ are linear independent. Suppose \exists non-zero $\alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_k$ s.t.

$$\alpha_{l+1}Cq_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}Cq_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k Cq_k = 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{q} := \alpha_{l+1}q_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}q_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k q_k \in \mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$. However,

$$\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C \cap \text{span} \{q_{l+1}, \dots, q_k\} = \{0\} \Rightarrow \tilde{q} = 0 \stackrel{q_{l+1}, \dots, q_k \text{ independent}}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_k = 0,$$

which proves that the **claim** is true. □

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Lemma (6.3)

If $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair, then \exists linear $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Proof.

Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k a basis of \mathcal{S} s.t. q_1, \dots, q_l is a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$ ($l \leq k$). Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is (A, B) -inv. $\Rightarrow Aq_i = v_i + Bu_i$, for $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We **claim** that $Cq_{l+1}, Cq_{l+2}, \dots, Cq_k$ are linear independent. Suppose \exists non-zero $\alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_k$ s.t.

$$\alpha_{l+1}Cq_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}Cq_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k Cq_k = 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{q} := \alpha_{l+1}q_{l+1} + \alpha_{l+2}q_{l+2} + \dots + \alpha_k q_k \in \mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$. However,

$$\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C \cap \text{span} \{q_{l+1}, \dots, q_k\} = \{0\} \Rightarrow \tilde{q} = 0 \stackrel{q_{l+1}, \dots, q_k \text{ independent}}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_{l+1}, \dots, \alpha_k = 0,$$

which proves that the **claim** is true.

Therefore, $\exists N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ with $NCq_i = -u_i$, $i = l+1, \dots, k \Rightarrow (A + BNC)q_i = v_i \in \mathcal{V}$, $i = l+1, \dots, k \Rightarrow (A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. □

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem 6.4 (using (C, A, B) pairs to construct Γ)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ be a (C, A, B) -pair. Then there exists controller Γ and an A_e -invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$.

In fact, choose

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem 6.4 (using (C, A, B) pairs to construct Γ)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ be a (C, A, B) -pair. Then there exists controller Γ and an A_e -invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$.

In fact, choose

› $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem 6.4 (using (C, A, B) pairs to construct Γ)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ be a (C, A, B) -pair. Then there exists controller Γ and an A_e -invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$.

In fact, choose

- › $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem 6.4 (using (C, A, B) pairs to construct Γ)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ be a (C, A, B) -pair. Then there exists controller Γ and an A_e -invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$.

In fact, choose

- › $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem 6.4 (using (C, A, B) pairs to construct Γ)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ be a (C, A, B) -pair. Then there exists controller Γ and an A_e -invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$.

In fact, choose

- › $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Then Γ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w} = (A + BF + GC - BNC)w + (BN - G)y \\ u = (F - NC)w + Ny, \end{cases}$$

6.1 (C, A, B) -pairs

Theorem 6.4 (using (C, A, B) pairs to construct Γ)

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ be a (C, A, B) -pair. Then there exists controller Γ and an A_e -invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W}$ s.t. $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$.

In fact, choose

- › $N : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BNC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- › $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Then Γ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w} = (A + BF + GC - BNC)w + (BN - G)y \\ u = (F - NC)w + Ny, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{V}_e = \{[\begin{smallmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}] + [\begin{smallmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2 \end{smallmatrix}] \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{S}, x_2 \in \mathcal{V}\}$

Summary

- › Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$, characterization (**Thm 5.8**). Notice its duality with $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}, A, B)$.

Summary

- › Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$, characterization (**Thm 5.8**). Notice its duality with $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}, A, B)$.
- › Dynamic feedback controller Γ
- › (C, A, B) -pairs: definition (Def 6.1), constructing (C, A, B) -pairs from A_e -inv.: \mathcal{V}_e (**Thm 6.2**)

Summary

- › Invariant subspace algorithm for $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$, characterization (**Thm 5.8**). Notice its duality with $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}, A, B)$.
- › Dynamic feedback controller Γ
- › (C, A, B) -pairs: definition (Def 6.1), constructing (C, A, B) -pairs from A_e -inv.: \mathcal{V}_e (**Thm 6.2**)
- › Using (C, A, B) -pairs to construct dynamic feedback controller Γ . (**Thm 6.4**)

disturbance decoupling with dynamic feedback

Problem (DDP with dynamic measurement feedback (DDPM))

Given the system $\Sigma = (H, C, A, B, E)$

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Ed$$

$$y = Cx$$

$$z = Hx$$

find K, L, M, N such that the dynamic controller $\Gamma(M, K, L, N)$

$$\dot{w} = Kw + Ly$$

$$u = Mw + Ny$$

renders the closed loop system disturbance decoupled:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{w} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A + BNC & BM \\ LC & K \end{bmatrix}}_{A_e} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{E_e} d \quad z = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{H_e} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$

disturbance decoupling with dynamic feedback

› Closed loop system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{w} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A + BNC & BM \\ LC & K \end{bmatrix}}_{A_e} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{E_e} d \quad z = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{H_e} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 6.5 DDPM

Find $\Gamma = (K, L, M, N)$ s.t.

$$T_{\Gamma}(t) := H_e e^{A_e t} E_e = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

or, equivalently, $G_{\Gamma}(s) = H_e (sI - A_e)^{-1} E_e = 0$.

Corollary of the result of (DDP): Thm.4.8

DDPM is solvable for $\Sigma = (H, C, A, B, E)$ iff there exists an A_e invariant subspace \mathcal{V}_e such that $\text{im } E_e \subseteq \mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \ker H_e$

disturbance decoupling with dynamic feedback

Theorem 6.6+Corollary6.7

DDPM is solvable for $\Sigma = (H, C, A, B, E)$ iff \exists a (C, A, B) -pair s.t.

$$\text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker H,$$

or, equivalently, $S^*(\text{im } E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\ker H)$.

Proof.

“If”: Assume the closed loop system

$$\Sigma_e : \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{w} \end{bmatrix} = A_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} + E_e d, \quad y_e = H_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix}.$$

is disturbance decoupled $\Rightarrow \exists A_e$ -inv. \mathcal{V}_e s.t. $\text{im } E_e \subseteq \mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \ker H_e$,

Let $\mathcal{S} := i(\mathcal{V}_e)$, $\mathcal{V} := p(\mathcal{V}_e) \xrightarrow{\text{Thm. 6.2}} (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ is a (C, A, B) -pair.

Let $x \in \text{im } E \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{im } E_e \subseteq \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow x \in i(\mathcal{V}_e) = \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow \exists w \in \mathcal{W} : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \ker H_e \Rightarrow Hx = H_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \ker H. \quad \square$

disturbance decoupling with dynamic feedback

Theorem 6.6+Corollary6.7

DDPM is solvable for $\Sigma = (H, C, A, B, E)$ iff \exists a (C, A, B) -pair s.t.

$$\text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker H,$$

or, equivalently, $S^*(\text{im } E) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\ker H)$.

Proof.

“Only if”: exists a (C, A, B) -pair s.t. $\text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker H$, $\stackrel{\text{Thm6.4}}{\Rightarrow} \exists \Gamma = (K, L, M, N)$ and A_e -inv. \mathcal{V}_e with $\mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e)$ and $\mathcal{V} = p(\mathcal{V}_e)$. We **claim** that $\text{im } E_e \subseteq \mathcal{V}_e \subseteq \ker H_e$.

Let $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \text{im } E_e \Rightarrow w = 0$ and $x \in \text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{S} = i(\mathcal{V}_e) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e$.

Let $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_e \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{V} \in \ker H \Rightarrow H_e \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} = Hx = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in \ker H_e$.

Thus the **claim** is true and by Thm 4.6, Σ_e is disturbance decoupled. □