



university of
groningen

faculty of science
and engineering

bernoulli institute for mathematics,
computer science and artificial intelligence

Lecture Course: Advanced Systems Theory

Chapter 4 and 5-Lecture6: Controllability subspace and conditioned invariance

Stephan Trenn, Yahao Chen

Jan C. Willems Center for Systems and Control

University of Groningen, Netherlands

September 2020

Recapitulation-4.2 Disturbance decoupling problem (DDP)

Theorem (4.8)

Given a system $\Sigma_{u,d,z} = (A, B, E, H)$, The DDP is solvable for $\Sigma_{u,d,z}$ iff there exists an (A, B) -invariant subspace \mathcal{V} s.t. $\text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker H$.

Question 1

Consider a system $\Sigma = (A, B, E, H)$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ f \end{bmatrix}$, $E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, for which one of the following that the DDP is not solvable ?

- (i) $b = 0, e = 1.$
- (ii) $b = 1, e = 1.$
- (iii) $b = 0, e = 0.$
- (iv) $b = 1, e = 0.$

Corollary 4.9

The DDP is solvable iff $\text{im } E \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\ker H)$.

Recapitulation-4.3 The invariant subspace algorithm (ISA)

Algorithm

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{k+1} := \mathcal{K} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k + \text{im } B), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Theorem (4.10)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ as defined in the above algorithm. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \supseteq \dots$, (*non-increasing*)
- (ii) $\exists k \leq \dim \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, (*stable index*)
- (iii) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_l, \forall l \geq k$, (*stable*)
- (iv) $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{V}_k$. (*limit*)

Recapitulation-4.3 The invariant subspace algorithm (ISA)

Algorithm

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{K}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{k+1} := \mathcal{K} \cap A^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k + \text{im } B), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Question 2

If $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^n$, then which one is correct?

- (i) $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = 0$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) = A^{-1} \text{im } B$.

Question 3

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$,
 $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $\mathcal{V}^*(\ker C) = ?$

- (i) $\ker C$.
- (ii) $\{0\}$.
- (iii) $A^{-1} \ker C$.

Question 4

In Question 3, what is the smallest integer k such that $\mathcal{V}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$?

- (i) $k = 0$.
- (ii) $k = 1$.
- (iii) $k = 2$.

4.4 Controllability subspace

Definition (4.11)

Consider $\Sigma : \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$. A subspace $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a **controllability subspace** of Σ if

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists T > 0, u \in \mathbf{U} : x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } x_u(T, x_0) = 0.$$

- (i) \mathcal{R} is a controllability space $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is controlled invariant subspace (just choose $u(t) = 0$, $\forall t \geq T$).
- (ii) $\langle A|B \rangle = \text{im}[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B]$ is a controllability subspace (actually the **largest** possible)

Theorem (4.12)

A subspace \mathcal{R} is a controllability subspace iff $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF | \text{im } BL \rangle$.

How F and L define a feedback transformation?

4.4 Controllability subspace

Theorem (4.12)

A subspace \mathcal{R} is a controllability subspace iff $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF | \text{im } BL \rangle$.

Proof.

Only if. Let $F : (A + BF)\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ (\mathcal{R} is an (A, B) -invariant subspace) and $\text{im } L = B^{-1}\mathcal{R}$.
 $\Rightarrow u(t)$ (which renders $x_u(x_0, t) \in \mathcal{R}$) is of the form $u(t) = Fx(t) + Lw(t)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{U}$
(Note that $x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t Bw(\tau)d\tau \in \mathcal{R}$).

Then consider

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = (A + BF)\bar{x} + BLw, \quad \bar{x}(0) = x_0, \quad \bar{x}(T) = 0 \quad (*)$$

\mathcal{R} is controllability subspace \Rightarrow

$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists T > 0, u \in \mathcal{U} : x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \forall t \geq 0$ and $x_u(T, x_0) = 0$. $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is the reachable space of $(*)$, i.e., $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF | \text{im } BL \rangle$.



4.4 Controllability subspace

Theorem (4.12)

A subspace \mathcal{R} is a controllability subspace iff $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF | \text{im } BL \rangle$.

Proof.

If. $\exists F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, L : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF | \text{im } BL \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \dot{\bar{x}} = (A + BF)\bar{x} + BLw$ is a linear system with **reachable space** (or null-controllable space) \mathcal{R} .

$\Rightarrow \forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \exists w : \bar{x}_w(T, x_0) = 0$.

$\Rightarrow \exists u = Fx + Lw$ s.t. $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ can be controlled to **zero while remaining in \mathcal{R}** . □

Corollary (4.13)

$\mathcal{R} = \langle A + BF | \text{im } B \cap \mathcal{R} \rangle$. (since $\text{im } L = B^{-1}\mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \text{im } BL = \text{im } B \cap \mathcal{R}$.)

Controllability subspace within a subspace

Definition (4.14)

Let \mathcal{K} be a subspace of \mathcal{X} , define

$$\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) := \{x_0 \in \mathcal{K} \mid \exists u \in \mathbf{U}, T > 0 : \textcolor{red}{x_u(t, x_0) \in \mathcal{K}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } x_u(T, x_0) = 0.}\}$$

Theorem (4.15)

$\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is *the largest controllability subspace contained in \mathcal{K}* , i.e.,

- (i) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is a controllability subspace.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$

Controllability subspace within a subspace

Terminology

$\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest controlled invariant subspace contained in \mathcal{K} .

$\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ is the largest controllability subspace contained in \mathcal{K} .

Lemma 4.16

Let \mathcal{K} be any subspace of \mathcal{X} . Then $\text{im } B \cap \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$.

Proof

Let L be a linear map s.t. $\text{im } L = B^{-1}\mathcal{V}^*$. Then

$$\text{im } B \cap \mathcal{V}^* = \text{im } BL \subseteq \langle A + BF \mid BL \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$$

Remark

$$\text{im } B \cap \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}.$$

Controllability subspace within a subspace

Theorem (4.17)

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a subspace. Then any $\textcolor{blue}{F} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ satisfies $(A + B\textcolor{blue}{F})\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ and

$$\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K}) = \langle A + B\textcolor{blue}{F} \mid \text{im } B \cap \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \rangle.$$

Proof.

Choose an F s.t. $(A + BF)\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$, since $\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*$, we have $(A + BF)\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*$.

\mathcal{R}^* is controlled invariance $\Rightarrow (A + BF)\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \mathcal{R}^* + \text{im } B$

$\Rightarrow (A + BF)\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \mathcal{V}^* \cap (\mathcal{R}^* + \text{im } B) = \mathcal{R}^* + \mathcal{V}^* \cap \text{im } B \stackrel{\text{Lemma 4.16}}{=} \mathcal{R}^*$.

Now by Thm 4.12, $\mathcal{R}^* = \langle A + BF \mid \text{im } BL \rangle$ for $L = B^{-1}\mathcal{R}^*$ (note that $\text{im } BL = \mathcal{R}^* \cap \text{im } B$).

We have $\mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \mathcal{V}^*$ and $\mathcal{V}^* \cap \text{im } B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}^* \cap \text{im } B \subseteq \mathcal{V}^* \cap \text{im } B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^* \cap \text{im } B$

$\Rightarrow \text{im } BL = \mathcal{V}^* \cap \text{im } B$. □

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Definition (Conditioned invariant subspaces)

Consider the system $\Sigma = (C, A)$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **conditioned invariant** if there exists $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ such that $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$

Remark

- (i) G defines an **output injection transformation**: **Observer** problem.
- (ii) \mathcal{S} could be defined in terms of the existence of a certain **observer** ! (Definition 5.2: Does always exist an observer for x/\mathcal{S} ?)

Theorem (5.5 Conditioned invariant subspaces)

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is **conditioned invariant** iff $A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.5 Conditioned invariant subspaces)

A subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq R^n$ is conditioned invariant iff $A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$

Proof.

“If” Let q_1, \dots, q_k be a basis of \mathcal{S} and q_1, \dots, q_l ($l \leq k$) be a basis of $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C$.

Choose $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $GCq_i = -Aq_i$ for $i = l+1, \dots, k$.

$\Rightarrow (A + GC)q_i = Aq_i \in \mathcal{S}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $(A + GC)q_i = 0$ for $i = l+1, \dots, k$.

Hence $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

“Only if” $(A + GC)\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow (A + GC)(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow A(\mathcal{S} \cap \ker C) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ □

Question 5

Given $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, which of the following subspaces is not necessarily conditioned invariant? (i) $\mathcal{S} = \text{im } A$ (ii) $\mathcal{S} = \ker A$ (iii) $\mathcal{S} = \ker C$ (iv) $\mathcal{S} = (\ker C)^\perp$

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Terminology ((A, B)-and (C, A)-invariant)

(A, B)-invariant \Leftrightarrow controlled invariant for $\Sigma = (A, B)$
(C, A)-invariant \Leftrightarrow conditioned invariant for $\Sigma = (C, A)$

Theorem (5.6 Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant)

A subspace \mathcal{C} is (C, A)-invariant iff S^\perp is (A^T, C^T)-invariant.

Proof

This follows from the fact that $A\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Leftrightarrow A^{-T}\mathcal{V}^\perp \supseteq \mathcal{V}^\perp \Leftrightarrow A^T\mathcal{V}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{V}^\perp$ and S^\perp is an (A^T, C^T)-invariant subspace $\stackrel{\text{Thm 4.2}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists F : (A^T + C^T F)S^\perp \subseteq S^\perp$ ($G := F^T$).

Conditioned invariant subspaces

Theorem (5.7 *The smallest conditioned invariant subspace containing a given subspace*)

Consider the system $\Sigma = (C, A)$. Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subspace. Then

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A) = (\mathcal{V}^*(A^T, C^T, \mathcal{E}^\perp))^\perp$$

is the smallest conditioned invariant subspace containing \mathcal{E} , i.e.,

- (i) $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E})$ is conditioned invariant,
- (ii) $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E})$,
- (iii) \mathcal{S} is a conditioned invariant subspace with $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Terminology

$\mathcal{V}^*(A, B, \mathcal{K})$: the largest (A, B) – invariant subspace contained in \mathcal{K}

$\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$: the smallest (C, A) – invariant subspace containing \mathcal{E}

Theorem (5.7 The smallest conditioned invariant subspace containing a given subspace)

Consider $\Sigma = (C, A)$ and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A) = (\mathcal{V}^*(A^T, C^T, \mathcal{E}^\perp))^\perp$$

is the smallest conditioned invariant subspace containing \mathcal{E} .

Proof.

- (i) $\mathcal{V}^*(A^T, C^T, \mathcal{E}^\perp)$ is an (A^T, C^T) -invar. $\xrightarrow{\text{Thm.5.6}}$ $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{E}, C, A)$ is a (C, A) -invar.
- (ii) $\mathcal{V}^* \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\perp \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}^\perp)^\perp \subseteq (\mathcal{V}^*)^\perp = \mathcal{S}^*$.
- (iii) Assume \mathcal{S} is a (C, A) -inv. with $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\perp$ is a (A^T, C^T) -inv. with $\mathcal{S}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\perp$.

Hence

$$\mathcal{S}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{V}^* \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{V}^*)^\perp \subseteq ((\mathcal{S})^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{S}.$$

□

Summary

- › Controllability subspace: definition (Def. 4.11), characterization ([Thm 4.12](#)).
- › Controllability subspace within \mathcal{K} : definition (Def. 4.14, Thm 4.16), relations of $\mathcal{V}^*(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{K})$ ([Thm 4.17](#)).
- › Conditioned invariance definition, characterization ([Thm 5.5](#))
- › Duality between controlled and conditioned invariant ([Thm 5.6](#))
- › The smallest conditioned invariant subspace containing \mathcal{E} . ([Thm 5.7](#))